

This evaluation form is the basis of all subsequent qualitative reviews of the applicant. Therefore, it is important that any ratings are supported with short and constructive comments. The committee's comments given here will be shared with the non-selected applicant if s/he requests information about weaknesses in the application.

Name of Applicant: _____

Field: _____

Faculty/Department: _____

(A) General

Rating: 5 4 3 2 1

Item	Yes	No
Availability of BUE staff in area of research		
Seniority		
Commitment		
Multiplier Effect (Expected benefit of her/his study and/or professional development in LU to the BUE and its students)		

(B) Quality of Research Plan

Rating: 5 4 3 2 1

The following factors shall be considered when rating the applicant.

- (1) Academic significance of proposed research
- (2) Clear statement of objectives
- (3) Clear methodology
- (4) Contribution to BUE's strategic research objectives
- (5) Contribution of LU in the proposed research
- (6) Timeline plan for research activities.

(C) Academic and/or Professional Background
Rating: 5 4 3 2 1

The committee should comment on the appropriateness of the applicant's academic and/or professional background for the proposed research.

Overall Rating

(5) Outstanding	(4) Very Good	(3) Good	(2) Average	(1) Not Recommended

General Comments:

-
